

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Enited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22310-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/028,713	12/28/2001	Tadashi Masuda	32405R096	3929
7590 06/21/2004			EXAMINER	
SMITH, GAM	BRELL & RUSSELL	GRAHAM, GARY K		
SUITE 800 1850 M STREET, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1744	

DATE MAILED: 06/21/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<i>CA</i>				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/028,713	MASUDA, TADASHI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Gary K Graham	1744				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 A	oril 200 <u>4</u> .					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-4 and 7-20 is/are pending in the appending of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,3,4,7 and 11 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 2,8-10 and 12-20 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 01072004.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/028,713

Art Unit: 1744

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 3, 4, 7 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kanazawa (US patent 5,873,280) in view of Eustache (EP patent 0739792).

The patent to Kanazawa discloses the invention substantially as is claimed, including a modular wiper assembly (50) as shown in figures 2 and 9 which has swingable wiper arms (W) supporting wiper blades (WB) at their ends. The arms are mounted on pivot shafts (7a,7b) received in pivot holders (1a,1b) and are driven by a motor (3). The wiper motor (3) is provided on bracket (20) which is fixed to frame (2). Said frame is attached to the vehicle body via portions or fixing sections of the pivot holders (1a,1b).

The patent to Kanazawa discloses all of the above recited subject matter with the exception of a first and second weak sections having low rupture strength provided between the wiper motor and the fixing sections.

Application/Control Number: 10/028,713

Art Unit: 1744

The patent to Eustache discloses a pivot holders (10) for modular wiper assemblies. The pivot holder includes a fixing portion (14) for attaching the modular assembly to the vehicle body. Eustache also discloses providing a weak section (22) between the fixing section and the bush (12) of the pivot holder to enable wiper assembly separation from the vehicle body in the event of a violent impact.

It would have been obvious to one of skill in the art to provide the pivot holders of Kanazawa with weak sections having low rupture strength, as clearly suggested by Eustache, to allow for wiper assembly separation from the vehicle body upon violent impact. Such would enable some energy absorption.

Weak sections provided in the assembly of Kanazawa, as suggested by Eustache, would provide first and second weak sections between the motor and the fixing sections as is claimed.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3, 4, 7 and 11 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. As set forth above, Eustache clearly sets for weak sections provided in a location between the fixing sections and the motor when employed in Kanazawa.

Application/Control Number: 10/028,713

Art Unit: 1744

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2, 8, 9, 10 and 12-20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/028,713 Page 5

Art Unit: 1744

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary K Graham whose telephone number is 571-272-1274. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday to Friday (6:30-4:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Warden can be reached on 571-272-1281. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gary K Graham Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1744

GKG 17 June 2004